arXiv:1403.3845v3 [cond-mat.supr-con] 27 May 2014

Composite charge order in the pseudogap region of the cuprates

A.M. Tsvelik[1] and A.V. Chubukov[2]

[1] *Department of Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, USA*

[2]*Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA*

(Dated: May 28, 2014)

We study the Ginzburg-Landau free energy functional for two coupled $U(1)$ charge order parameters describing two non-equivalent charge orders with wave vector $\mathbf{Q}$ detected in X-ray and STM measurements of underdoped cuprates. We do not rely on a mean-field analysis, but rather utilize a field-theoretical technique suitable to study the interplay between vortex physics and discrete symmetry breaking in two-dimensional systems with $U(1)$ symmetry. Our calculations support the idea that in the clean systems there are two transitions: from a high temperature disordered state into a state with a composite charge order which breaks time-reversal symmetry, but leaves $U(1)$ fields disordered, and then into a state with quasi long range order in the $U(1)$ fields.

PACS numbers: 74.81.Fa, 74.90.+n

INTRODUCTION.

Experimental studies of the cuprates give ample evidence of high complexity of their phase diagram, especially at small doping, where superconductivity is preceded by the region of anomalous behavior often called a pseudogap. The observations of a polar Kerr effect [1, 2] and intra-unit-cell magnetic order [3] in the pseudogap region indicate that the pseudogap is a thermodynamic phase with broken symmetry. This is at variance, at least partly, with the scenario that the pseudogap is just a precursor to Mott-insulating behavior at and very near half-filling [4].

Several possible symmetry breaking states have been proposed for the pseudogap region based on the analysis of the experimental data. In particular, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), x-ray, angle resolved photoemission (ARPES), and sound velocity measurements in several high-T_c materials [2, 5–12], were interpreted as evidence of sufficiently long-ranged incommensurate charge modulations with small momenta $Q_x = (2Q, 0)$ and/or $Q_y = (0, 2Q)$, possibly connecting neighboring hot spots on the Fermi surface [10]. Such modulations necessarily have both charge-density-wave (CDW) and bond-order components [13–15]. We will label them as CDW for brevity. Quantum oscillation measurements [20] and measurements of Hall and Seebeck coefficients [21] were also interpreted as evidence of the feedback effect on fermions from CDW order with small momentum. Neutron scattering and other data on $La_{1.875}Ba_{0.125}CuO_4$ [16] were, on the other hand, interpreted [17] as evidence of long-ranged incommensurate pairing correlations: pair density waves (PDW). Both CDW and PDW scenarios were applied [14, 19] to fit the ARPES data [2] on Pb-Bi2201. Other theoretical proposals for symmetry breaking in the pseudogap phase involve triangular loop currents [22] and charge bond order with large momentum near (π, π) [23].

A popular theoretical concept behind the density-wave order in the cuprates is that this order and superconductivity are caused by the same interaction and hence are intertwined [24], being components of the "super-vector" order parameter (OP). Along these lines, Sachdev and collaborators argued [13, 25, 26] that the same magnetically mediated interaction between fermions in hot regions -portions of the Fermi surface (FS) for which antiferromagnetic (π, π) coincides with $2k_F$,- which gives rise to $d-$wave superconductivity, also gives rise to charge bond order (CBO), with diagonal momentum $\mathbf{Q} = (Q, \pm Q)$, and the couplings in the two channels are identical if one neglects the curvature of the FS in hot regions. This idea was further



FIG. 1: Fermi surface and two CDW order parameters $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = c^{\dagger}_{k+Q/2}c_{k-Q/2}$ with vertical $Q = (0, Q)$. The momenta $\mathbf{k}$ are located near either $\mathbf{k}_0$ or $-\mathbf{k}_0$, and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_0}$ and $\Delta_{-\mathbf{k}_0}$ are two different $U(1)$ order parameters.

explored by the authors of Ref. [27], who argued that pseudogap behavior may be caused by fluctuations between superconducting and charge order components of the super-vector, whose magnitude becomes fixed at $T \sim T^*$, but the direction (superconducting in the presence of FS curvature) is selected only at a much lower T_c.

Three groups recently considered [14, 18, 28] a charge order with vertical/horizontal $\mathbf{Q} = (Q, 0)$ or $(0, Q)$, as observed in the experiments (see Fig. 1). The partner of this state (in terms of components of a super-vector) is a superconducting PDW order with a non-zero total momentum of a pair [17, 19]. The coupling in the CDW/PDW channel is weaker than in the d-wave superconducting (SC) channel and, at first glance, such charge order will be ruined by superconductivity and diagonal bond order, which develops at $T_{SC} > T_{CDW}$ However, the situation is more nuanced for two reasons. First, microscopic calculations by two groups show [14, 29] that, in the absence of strong superconducting fluctuations or a magnetic field, CDW order appears in the form of a stripe, i.e., with Q_x or Q_y but not with both. Such an order breaks C_4 lattice rotational symmetry down to C_2. At a mean-field level, the $C_4 \to C_2$ symmetry breaking occurs at the onset of long-range charge order, i.e., at T_{CDW}. However beyond mean-field, the discrete Z_2 symmetry associated with the choice of Q_x vs Q_y gets broken at a higher T_n than T_{CDW}, much like it happens for Fe-pnictides [30], where the system breaks C_4 lattice rotational symmetry down to C_2 before stripe magnetic order sets in. If T_{CDW} and T_{SC} are close enough, T_n can exceed T_{SC}. Once this happens, the development of a nematic order at T_n produces a negative feedback effect on superconducting T_c and, at the same time, lifts up the temperature of CDW order, T_{CDW}, much like the development of a nematic order in Fe-pnictides reduces superconducting T_c and, at the same time, increases the onset temperature for long-range spin order [30].

Second, for a given $Q_x = (2Q, 0)$ or $Q_y = (0, 2Q)$, the OP's, $\Delta_k = c^{\dagger}_{k+Q}c_{k-Q}$ for CDW and $c^{\dagger}_{k+Q}c^{\dagger}_{k-Q}$ for PDW, involve pairs of hot fermions for which k is located in between two neighboring hot spots, e.g., at $2\mathbf{k} \approx \pm 2\mathbf{k}_0 = (-2Q, 0)$ for $\mathbf{Q} = (0, Q)$, see Fig. 1. Because $2\mathbf{k}_0$ is *not* a special symmetry point in the Brillouin zone, the CDW/PDW order parameters with $\mathbf{k} \approx \mathbf{k}_0$ and $\mathbf{k} \approx -\mathbf{k}_0$ are not equivalent, i.e., even for a given $\mathbf{Q}$ (Q_x or Q_y), there are two different complex $U(1)$ OP's for CDW [14] or PDW [19]. For the d-wave superconducting and charge order with diagonal $\mathbf{Q}$ the corresponding $\mathbf{k}_0 = 0$, and there is only one OP. The two complex orders for CDW/PDW can potentially form another pre-emptive composite order at $T^* > T_{CDW}$, which corresponds to locking the relative phase $\delta\psi$ of the two condensates, while the phase of each condensate still fluctuates freely. We will show (see Eq. (1) below) that the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) functional has minima at $\delta\psi = \pm\pi/2$. The phase locking then selects either $+\pi/2$ or $-\pi/2$, giving rise to a non-zero expectation value of $< \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_0}\Delta^*_{-\mathbf{k}_0} >= i\Upsilon$ (a four-fermion condensate). Such an order breaks time-reversal symmetry, but preserves parity [14].

In this paper, we use field-theoretical approach, designed specifically to study OP's with $U(1)$ symmetry, and analyze potential pre-emptive composite order, which breaks time-reversal symmetry, in the most generic two-component GL model for CDW/PDW orders with a given Q_x (or Q_y). An al-

ternative analysis of such order, based on Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation to collective variables and subsequent saddle-point analysis, has been presented in [14]. The two approaches are complimentary as the analysis we present here is specific to two-component OP's, while the one based on Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation is rigorously justified once one extends the number of components to M and takes the limit of large M.

We find that a pre-emptive composite order with $< \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_0}\Delta^*_{-\mathbf{k}_0} >= i\Upsilon$ does develop prior to quasi-long-range order in the $U(1)$ fields. For a clean 2D system, we find two transitions upon lowering T below T_n, where the system breaks C_4 lattice symmetry down to C_2 and selects Q_x or Q_y. First a composite order develops at $T^* \leq T_n$ and time-reversal symmetry gets broken, and then, at a lower T_{CDW}, the primary OP's acquire quasi long range order. These results are consistent with those obtained using Hubbard-Stratonovich analysis.

To shorten presentation, we consider only CDW order. The consideration for PDW order proceeds along the same lines. A more complicated case with both OP's present is presented in the Appendix D.

THE MODEL.

We assume that C_4 lattice symmetry is already broken down to C_2 and consider the most generic GL Free energy for two complex order parameters $\Delta_+ = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ at $\mathbf{k} \approx \mathbf{k}_0$ and $\Delta_- = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ at $\mathbf{k} \approx -\mathbf{k}_0$:

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{\rho}{2}\Big(|\partial_\mu \Delta_+|^2 + |\partial_\mu \Delta_-|^2\Big) + a\Big(|\Delta_+|^2 + |\Delta_-|^2\Big) - a'(\Delta_+^*\Delta_- + \Delta_+\Delta_-^*) + b\Big(|\Delta_+|^2 + |\Delta_-|^2\Big)^2 + c\Big(|\Delta_+|^2 - |\Delta_-|^2\Big)^2 + d\Big(\Delta_+^*\Delta_- + \Delta_+\Delta_-^*\Big)^2 \quad (1)$$

where $\mu = x, y$ are spatial components, $a = a(T)$ changes sign at mean-field CDW transition temperature T_{mf}, and we assume that the prefactors b, c and d are all positive and $b > c$. The assumption $d > 0$ plays a crucial role in our analysis. Indeed, at $d < 0$ only even-in-$\mathbf{k}$ order appears via a single transition, and at $d = 0$ the system has an extra degeneracy and the pre-emptive order gets destroyed by fluctuations. The GL approach suggests that the order parameter fluctuations are static which is supported by the recent NMR measurements [31].

The free energy in this form has been derived by integrating out fermions either in the spin-fermion model [32], or in the model with on-site and nearest-neighbor interaction between fermions [18, 26]. The d term in (1) shows that the Free energy has minima when the relative phase of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_0}$ and $\Delta_{-\mathbf{k}_0}$ equals $\pm\pi/2$. A non-zero $a' > 0$ implies that the mean-field onset temperature for $\Delta_+ + \Delta_-$ (the solution even in $\mathbf{k}$) is larger than that for $\Delta_+ - \Delta_-$ (the solution odd in $\mathbf{k}$). We will demonstrate, that in this situation the only possible spontaneous composite order is the appearance of an imaginary $< \Delta_+^*\Delta_- - \Delta_-^*\Delta_+ >= i\Upsilon$. This is equivalent to the appearance of a non-zero imaginary $< (\Delta_+^* + \Delta_-^*) * (\Delta_+ - \Delta_-) >$. Because the second term is odd in $\mathbf{k}$, and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ transforms into $\Delta_{-\mathbf{k}}$ under time-reversal, a composite order breaks time-reversal symmetry [14].

Below the mean field transition temperature the amplitudes of the fields Δ_+ and Δ_- stabilize and one can replace $\Delta_a = |\Delta| z_a$, where $|\Delta|$ is a constant and $\sum_{a=+,-} |z_a|^2 = 1$, and parametrize $z's$ as

$$z_+ = \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{i}(\phi+\psi)/2}\cos\theta/2, \;\; z_+ = \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{i}(\phi-\psi)/2}\sin\theta/2 \quad (2)$$

The Free energy then can be recast completely in terms of the collective variable $n^a = z^*\sigma^a z$, where $\mathbf{n}^2 = 1$ as (see Appendix A):

$$F/T = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \Big\{ \frac{1}{2g}[(\partial_\mu \mathbf{n})^2 - (4\pi)^2 \vartheta \nabla^{-2}\vartheta] + \lambda n_z^2 + \kappa n_x + \tau n_x^2 \Big\}, \quad (3)$$

where $\vartheta = \frac{1}{4\pi}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\Big(\mathbf{n}[\partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \times \partial_\nu \mathbf{n}]\Big)$ is the topological charge density of the nematic field $\mathbf{n}$ and $g = T/\rho|\Delta|^2$, $\lambda = c|\Delta|^4/T$, $\kappa = a'|\Delta|^2/T$, $\tau = d|\Delta|^4/T$. The second term in (3) is the Coulomb energy of the topological charges. Its presence guarantees that field $\mathbf{n}$ does not have a finite charge, since the energy of such configuration would be infinite. Hence the number of vortices is equal to the

number of anti-vortices and there are no topologically nontrivial configurations.

THE COMPOSITE ORDER.

The composite order is a spontaneous appearance of a non-zero expectation value $< n_i >$ while z_+ and z_- remain strongly fluctuating fields and $< z_{+,-} >= 0$. The form of F in (3), with positive λ and τ, implies that only $n_y \propto i(\Delta_+^* \Delta_- - \Delta_-^* \Delta_+)$ can spontaneously acquire a non-zero expectation value. Without loss of generality we assume that the anisotropy controlled by λ-term in (3) is likely to be large so that the field $\mathbf{n}$ becomes effectively two-dimensional. This term also provides a natural scale to the vortex cores $R \sim (2\pi/g\lambda)^{1/2}$. A large λ allows us to integrate out the fluctuations of the z-component of $\mathbf{n}$ in (3) and derive the effective GL action for the $x-y$ components. This action necessarily includes terms generated by vortices. There are three types of them: (i) 2π-vortices of ϕ field combined with a 2π change of θ, (ii) 2π vortices of ψ field combined with a 2π change of θ, and (iii) the joint vortices where ϕ and ψ change simultaneously by 2π. Following [33] we write down the effective free energy functional in the form

$$\mathcal{F}/T = \frac{1}{2g}[(\partial_x\psi)^2 + (\partial_x\phi)^2] + \frac{g}{2}[(\partial_x\bar{\psi})^2 + (\partial_x\bar{\phi})^2] + \mathrm{i}[\partial_x\psi\partial_y\bar{\psi} + \partial_x\phi\partial_y\bar{\phi}] + \kappa\cos\psi + \tau\cos(2\psi) + \eta_{\psi\theta}\cos(2\pi\bar{\psi}) + \eta_{\phi\theta}\cos(2\pi\bar{\phi}) + \eta_{\phi\psi}\cos(2\pi\bar{\psi})\cos(2\pi\bar{\phi}) \qquad (4)$$

where $\bar{\psi}, \bar{\phi}$ are fields dual to ψ and ϕ with $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ being the corresponding fugacities. The scaling dimensions of the cosine terms are $d_\tau = g/\pi,\ \ d_{\phi\theta} = d_{\psi\theta} = \pi/g,\ \ d_{\psi\phi} = 2\pi/g$.

Let us consider the case $\kappa = 0$ first. The terms with $\eta_{\phi\theta},\ \eta_{\psi\theta}$ become irrelevant at $g < \pi/2$, the joint vortices of ψ and ϕ become irrelevant at $g < \pi$. Hence in the region $\pi/2 < g < 2\pi$ both $\phi - \theta$ and $\psi - \theta$ vortices and the anisotropy τ-term are relevant and their competition leads to a transition from a high temperature disordered state to the state with a non-zero $< n_y >$.

One can get a general condition for this transition by equaling the characteristic energy scales generated by the competing relevant operators:

$$\tau^{1/(2-d_\tau)} \sim (\eta_{\psi\theta})^{1/(2-d_{\psi\theta})} \qquad (5)$$

This condition places the transition temperature T^* in the interval $\pi/2 < g(T^*) < 2\pi$. The transition to the state with power-law correlations of Δ fields on the other hand occurs at $T = T_{CDW}$, when $\phi - \theta$ vortices become irrelevant. This happens when $g(T_{CDW}) = \pi/2$. Because $g(T)$ is an increasing function of T, the condition $g(T^*) > g(T_{CDW})$ implies that $T^* > T_{CDW}$.

If τ and $\eta_{\psi\theta}$ are comparable, a non-zero $< n_y >$ emerges near $g = \pi$ where it can be studied in detail as it was done, for instance, in [34]. Near this point it is convenient to refermionize the part of the effective action containing $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ fields as described in Appendix B. The resulting theory is a fermionic model of right- and left moving fermionic Majorana fields $\rho_{R,L}^{(\pm)}$ in 2D with masses $M_\pm \sim \tau \pm \eta_{\psi\theta}$ in Euclidian space governed by the Lagrangian density:

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi = \mathcal{L}^{(+)} + \mathcal{L}^{(-)} + \gamma\rho_R^{(+)}\rho_R^{(-)}\rho_L^{(+)}\rho_L^{(-)},$$
$$\mathcal{L}^{(a)} = \frac{1}{2}\rho_R^{(a)}(\partial_x - \mathrm{i}\partial_y)\rho_R^{(a)} + \frac{1}{2}\rho_L^{(a)}(\partial_x + \mathrm{i}\partial_y)\rho_L^{(a)} + \mathrm{i}M_a\rho_R^{(a)}\rho_L^{(a)}. \qquad (6)$$

where $a = \pm$ and $\gamma = 4\pi(\pi/g - 1)$. At high temperatures, the masses M_+ and M_- have different signs. The transition at $T = T^*$ occurs when one of the masses passes through zero and changes sign (both masses can never vanish simultaneously). In our case, when $\tau > 0$, we found that M_+ is positive for all T, while M_- changes sign at T^*, such that both masses become positive at $T < T^*$. The specific heat anomaly at T is only logarithmic in a clean system $C_v \sim \ln|T - T^*|$ and is further weakened by disorder: $C_v \sim \ln\ln|T - T^*|$ [35].

The CDW OPs and $\mathbf{n}$ are nonlocal in fermions. However, the theory of free massive Majorana fermions in 2D is equivalent to the 2D Ising model and the corresponding OPs are expressed in terms of the order and disorder parameter fields of the Ising models σ and μ [36]. The nematic field is

$$n_x + \mathrm{i}n_y \sim \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\psi} = (\mathrm{i}\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \mu_1\mu_2). \qquad (7)$$

At $M_a > 0$ we have $\langle\sigma\rangle \neq 0, \langle\mu\rangle = 0$ and for $M_a < 0$ we have $\langle\sigma\rangle = 0, \langle\mu\rangle \neq 0$. At $T > T^*$, when the vortices dominate, M_1 and M_2 have different signs and both components of n in (7) have zero average value. At $T < T^*$ σ_1 and σ_2 acquire finite average values, and the system develops a long range composite order in $< n_y >$, which, as we said, breaks time-reversal symmetry. The primary CDW OP's however remain disordered as they contain the exponent of ϕ field whose fluctuation remain short ranged for $g > \pi/2$. At $\theta \approx \pi/2$ we have at $T < T^*$ $\Delta_+, \Delta_- = |\Delta| e^{i\phi/2} < e^{\pm i\psi/2} >$ (see Eq. (2)). The CDW transition around $g = \pi/2$ ($T = T_{CDW}$) is then of the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless type and the low temperature phase at $T < T_{CDW}$ is characterized by a quasi-long-range order of the CDW OP's.

The extension of the results to $\kappa \neq 0$ is straightforward. A non-zero κ shifts both T^* and T_{CDW} to smaller values and eventually push them into a region of g well below $\pi/2$, where the vortices are irrelevant. In this case, one can analyze the appearance of the composite order semiclassically (see Appendix C). Still, there are two transitions – first $< n_y >$ becomes non-zero at $T = T^*$ and then, at $T_{CDW} < T^*$, the correlation length for the principle fields $\Delta_{+,-}$ becomes infinite.

CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, in this paper we studied the GL functional for two coupled $U(1)$ charge order parameters, necessarily present when the order occurs with a vertical/horizontal momentum Q detected in x-ray and STM measurements. We considered the temperature range below T_n, where the system breaks C_4 lattice rotational symmetry down to C_2 and spontaneously selects one of the two potential ordering momenta, either $Q_x = (2Q, 0)$ or $Q_y = (0, 2Q)$. We used the field-theoretical approach, designed specifically to study order parameters with $U(1)$ symmetry, and analyzed a potential pre-emptive composite order which breaks time-reversal symmetry. We found that in a clean 2D system there are indeed two transitions: the Ising transition at $T = T^*$, below which the composite order develops and time-reversal symmetry gets broken, and a second transition at a lower $T = T_{CDW}$, at which the correlation length for the $U(1)$ order parameters becomes infinite. With application to the hole-doped cuprates, we suggest that T^* is the onset of the temperature regime where optical measurements show a non-zero polar Kerr effect, and T_{CDW} is a temperature below which NMR, X-ray, and other measurements detect static CDW order.

A. V. C. is grateful to K. Efetov, C. Pepin, Y. Wang, S. Kivelson, E. Berg and S. Lederer for fruitful conversations. He was supported by the DOE grant DE-FG02-ER46900. A.M.T. was supported by the Center for Emergent Superconductivity, an Energy Frontier Research Center, funded by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences (BES), Division of Materials Science and Engineering, US Department of Energy, through Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886.

APPENDICES

A. Derivation of free energy (3)

Substituting $\Delta_a = |\Delta| z_a$, where $|\Delta|$ is a constant and $\sum_{a=s,b} |z_a|^2 = 1$, into (1) we obtain the free energy describing the long wavelength fluctuations as

$$F/T = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \Big[\frac{1}{2g} (\partial_\mu z_a^* \partial_\mu z_a) + \lambda (z^* \sigma^z z)^2 + \kappa (z^* \sigma^x z) + \tau (z^* \sigma^x z)^2 \Big], \quad (8)$$

To obtain (3) we have to integrate over angle ϕ. The first step is to recast (8) as

$$F/T = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \Big\{ \frac{1}{2g} [(\omega_\mu^z)^2 + (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n})^2] + \lambda n_z^2 + \kappa n_x + \tau n_x^2 \Big\}. \quad (9)$$

where $\omega_\mu^z = \partial_\mu \phi + \cos\theta \partial_\mu \psi$.

Next we integrate over ϕ angle. The measure of the path integral is $\mathrm{d}\Omega = \mathrm{d}\psi \mathrm{d}\cos\theta \mathrm{d}\psi = \mathrm{d}\phi \mathrm{d}\mathbf{n}$,

where $n^a = z^* \sigma^a z$. To integrate over angle ϕ with simple measure $\mathrm{d}\phi$ we use the following identities;

$$\omega_\mu^z = \partial_\mu \alpha + \epsilon_{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu \chi, \;\; \partial^2 \chi = 4\pi\vartheta, \qquad (10)$$
$$\int \mathrm{d}^2x (\omega_\mu^z)^2 = \int \mathrm{d}^2x [(\partial_\mu \alpha)^2 + (\partial_\mu \chi)^2].$$

The result is Eq. (3) in the main text.

B. The fermionic action

Here we use the standard bosonization formulas. The refermionionized theory (4) is a fermionic model of right- and left moving fermionic fields R, L in 2D Euclidian space governed by the Lagrangian density:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\phi + \mathcal{L}_\psi,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_\psi = R^+(\partial_x - \mathrm{i}\partial_y)R + L^+(\partial_x + \mathrm{i}\partial_y)L +$$
$$\mathrm{i}M_\tau(R^+L - L^+R) + M_\eta(R^+L^+ + RL)$$
$$+4\pi(\pi/g - 1)R^+RL^+L, \qquad (11)$$
$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = \frac{1}{2g}(\partial_x\phi)^2 + \frac{g}{2}(\partial_x\bar{\phi})^2 +$$
$$\mathrm{i}\partial_x\phi\partial_y\bar{\phi} + \eta_{\phi\theta}\cos(2\pi\bar{\phi}) + ... \qquad (12)$$

where $M_\tau \sim \tau$, $M_\eta \sim \eta_{\psi\theta}$. The dots in (12) stand for the term with $\eta_{\psi\phi}$ which we do not consider as being less relevant. A further simplification occurs when one introduces Majorana fermions $R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\rho_R^{(+)} + \mathrm{i}\rho_R^{(-)}]$, $L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\rho_L^{(+)} + \mathrm{i}\rho_L^{(-)}]$. This leads to Eq. (6) in the main text.

C. The influence of κ

In this appendix we discuss the role of the κ term describing the difference in the mean-field transition temperatures for the even- and odd-in-**k** components of the CDW OP. The small anisotropy generates the term κn^x in (3) or, equivalently, the term $\kappa \sin\psi$ in (4) and $\kappa\mu_1\mu_2$ in (6). This operator does not have a non-zero average in either the ordered or disordered phase of model (6). Therefore it can play a role only close to the critical point where $|M_-| << M_+$. Since the correlation length M_+^{-1} always remains finite, the κ term yields singular correlations only in the second order in κ through the fusion:

$$\mu_1(x)\mu_2(x)\mu_1(0)\mu_2(0) \sim$$
$$K_0(M_+x)|x|^{7/8}\rho_R^{(2)}(0)\rho_L^{(2)}(0) + ... \qquad (13)$$

Hence near the critical point the anisotropy generates a contribution to the fermionic Lagrangian $\mathrm{i}\kappa^2 M_+^{-9/8}\rho_R^{(2)}\rho_L^{(2)}$. This corresponds to a shift of M_- meaning a shift of the transition point.

The increase of κ leads to an Ising transition from the nematic phase with a broken time-reversal symmetry to the state where this symmetry is preserved. We will study this transition below $g = \pi/2$ when the vortices are irrelevant. Then the relevant part of potential in (4) is $V = \kappa\cos\psi + \tau\cos(2\psi)$. For $g << 1$ one can analyze this potential semiclassically. At $\kappa = 0$ the minimum of the potential is at $\psi = \pi/2$ which corresponds to the nematic director pointing along the $0y$ axis. When $\kappa > 0$ increases the minimum moves towards $\psi = \pi$ which corresponds to a reduction of the y-component of the director. When κ reaches the critical value $\kappa = 4\tau$ the second derivative at the minimum vanishes: $V = \frac{\tau}{2}(\psi - \pi)^4 + O([\psi - \pi]^6)$, which corresponds to the Ising transition into the state where the director points along the $0x$-axis.

D. The interplay between CDW and PDW orders

As in the main text, we assume that **Q** is either Q_x or Q_y. The GL action which includes PDW is

$$\frac{\rho}{2}\sum_{a,\alpha}|\partial_\mu\Delta_{a\alpha}|^2+a(T-T_{mf})\sum_{a,\alpha}|\Delta_{a\alpha}|^2+b(\sum_{a,\alpha}|\Delta_{a\alpha}|^2)^2+c(\Delta^*_{a\alpha}\sigma^z_{\alpha\beta}\Delta_{a\beta})^2+d(\Delta_{a\alpha}\sigma^x_{\alpha\beta}\Delta_{a\beta})^2 \quad (14)$$

where $a=1,2;\alpha=1,2$. The first index distinguishes between CDW and PDW orders, the second index (α) specifies one of the two components of either CDW or PDW order parameter Well below T_{mf} we can adopt the following parametrization:

$$\Delta_{a\alpha}=|\Delta|z_a\begin{pmatrix}e^{i\psi/2}\\ e^{-i\psi/2}\end{pmatrix},\ \ \sum_{a=1}^{2}|z_a|^2=1. \quad (15)$$

The symmetry of the resulting long wavelength model is U(1)×U(1)×SU(2). Substituting (15) into (14) we get

$$\mathcal{F}/T= \quad (16)$$
$$\frac{1}{8g}(\partial_\mu\psi)^2+\lambda\cos(2\psi)+\frac{1}{2g}(\partial_\mu z_a^*\partial_\mu z_a),$$

($g=1/\rho|\Delta|^2$, $\lambda=d|\Delta|^4$), which is the sine-Gordon model plus the SU(2) principal chiral field -the O(4) sigma model. The corresponding OP is a nematic vector

$$\Delta^*_{a\alpha}\sigma^{x,y}_{\alpha\beta}\Delta_{a\beta}\sim\exp(\pm i\psi). \quad (17)$$

This OP does not contain z_a which always remains disordered since the O(4) sigma model in D=2 always remains in a disordered phase, even in a clean system. If one takes into account vortices in ψ, as was done in the main text, the nematic transition becomes the Ising one. The correlations of the CDW and PDW POs remain short range.

[1] H. Karapetyan, M. Hucker, G. D. Gu, J. M. Tranquada, M. M. Fejer, J. Xia, A. Kapitulnik, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 147001 (2012) and references therein.

[2] R.-H. He, M. Hashimoto, H. Karapetyan, J. D. Koralek, J. P. Hinton, J. P. Testaud, V. Nathan, Y. Yosida, H. Yao, K. Tanaka, W. Meevasana, R. G. Moore, D. H. Lu, S. K. Mo, M. Ishikado, H. Eisaki, Z. Hussain, T. P. Devereaux, S. A. Kivelson, J. Orenstein, A. Kapitulnik, Z.-X. Shen, *Science* **331**, 1579 (2011).

[3] Y. Sidis and P. Bourges, arXiv:1306.5124; Y. Li, V. Baledent, G. Yu, N. Barisic, K. Hradil, R. A. Mole, Y. Sidis, P. Steffens, X. Zhao, P. Bourges, M. Greven, *Nature* **468,** 283 (2010).

[4] P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78,** 17 (2006); B. Kyung, S. S. Kancharla, D. Senechal, A. -M. S. Tremblay, M. Civelli, G. Kotliar, *Phys. Rev. B* **73,** 165114 (2006); T.M. Rice, Kai-Yu Yang, and F.C. Zhang, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75**, 016502 (2012); E. Gull, O. Parcollet, A. J. Millis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110,** 216405 (2013); A.-M. S. Tremblay, Autumn School of Correlated Electrons: Emergent Phenomena in Correlated Matter, Sept. 23-27, 2013, Forschungszentrum Uülich, Germany, ISBN 978-3-89336-884-6; arXiv:1310.1481.

[5] A. Shekhter, B. J. Ramshaw, R. X. Liang, W. N. Hardy, D. A. Bonn, F. F. Balakirev, R. D. McDonald, J. B. Betts, S. C. Riggs, A. Migliori, *Nature* **498**, 75 (2013); D. LeBoeuf, S. Krämer, W. N. Hardy, R. X. Liang, D. A. Boon, C. Proust, *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 79 (2013).

[6] T. Wu, H. Mayaffre, S. Krämer, M. Horvatić, C.Berthier, P. L. Kuhns, A. P. Reyes, R. X. Liang, W. N. Hardy, D. A. Bonn, M. H. Julien, *Nat. Commun.*, **4** 2113 (2013).

[7] K. Fujita, A. R. Schmidt, E. A. Kim, M. J. Lawler, D.-H. Lee, J. C. Davis, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **81,** (2012) 011005.

[8] G. Ghiringhelli, M. Le Tacon, M. Minola, S. Blanco-Canosa, C. Mazzoli, N.B. Brookes, G. M. De Luca, A. Frano, D. G. Hawthorn, F. He, T. Loew, M. M. Sala, D. C. Peets, M. Salluzzo, E. Schierle, R. Sutarto, G. A. Sawatzky, E. Weschke, B. Keimer, L. Braicovich, *Science* **337,** 821 (2012).

[9] A. J. Achkar, R. Sutarto, X. Mao, F. He, A. Frano, S. Blanco-Canosa, M. Le Tacon, G. Ghiringhelli, L. Braicovich, M. Minola, M. Moretti Sala, C. Mazzoli, Ruixing Liang, D. A. Bonn, W. N. Hardy, B. Keimer, G. A. Sawatzky, D. G. Hawthorn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 167001 (2012).

[10] R. Comin, A. Frano, M. M. Yee, Y. Yoshida, H. Eisaki, E. Schierle, E. Weschke, R. Sutarto, F. He,

A. Soumyanarayanan, Y. He, M. Le Tacon, I. S. Efimov, J. E. Hoffman, G. A. Sawatsky, B. Keimer, A. Damascelli, *Science* 343, 390-392 (2014)

[11] E.-H. da Silva Neto, P. Aynajian, A. Frano, R. Comin, E. Schierle, E. Weschke,A. Gyenis, J. S. Wen, J. Schneeloch, Z. J. Xu, S. Ono, G. D. Gu, M. Le Tacon, A. Yazdani, *Science* 343, 393-396 (2014).

[12] T. Wu, H. Mayaffre, S. Krämer, M. Horvatić, C.Berthier, W. N. Hardy, R. X. Liang, D. A. Bonn, M. H. Julien, *Nature* **477,** 191-194 (2011).

[13] S. Sachdev and R. La Placa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111,** 027202 (2013).

[14] Y. Wang and A. Chubukov, arXiv:1401.0712.

[15] R. Comin, R. Sutarto, F. He, E. da Silva Neto, L. Chauviere, A. Frano, R. Liang, W. N. Hardy, D. Bonn, Y. Yoshida, H. Eisaki, J. E. Hoffman, B. Keimer, G. A. Sawatzky, A. Damascelli, arXiv:1402.5415.

[16] J. M. Tranquada, G. D. Gu, M. Hücker, Q. Jie, H. J. Kang, R. Klingeler, Q. Lie, N. Tristan, J. S. Wen, G. Y. Xu, Z. J. Xu, J. Zhou, M. von Zimmerman, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 174529 (2008); M. Hücker, M. von Zimmerman, G. D. Gu, Z. J. Xu, J. S. Wen, G. Y. Xu, H. J. Kang, A. Zheludev, J. M. Tranquada, *Phys. Rev. B* **83,** 104506 (2011).

[17] E. Berg, E. Fradkin, S.A. Kivelson, and J. Tranquada, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 115004 (2009).

[18] L. E. Hayward, D. G. Hawthorn, R. G. Melko, and S. Sachdev, Science **343**, 1336 (2014).

[19] P. A. Lee, arXiv:1401.0519.

[20] N. Harrison and S.E. Sebastian, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106,** 226402 (2011).

[21] N. Doiron-Leyraud and L. Taillefer, *Physica C* **481,** 161 (2012).

[22] C. M. Varma, *Phys. Rev. B* 55 14554 (1997).

[23] Z. Wang, G. Kotliar, and X-F Wang, *Phys. Rev. B* **42,** 8690 (1990); S. Chakravarty, R.B. Laughlin, D.K. Morr, and C. Nayak, *Phys. Rev. B* **63,** 094503 (2001); R.B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. B**89**, 035134 (2014).

[24] E. Fradkin and S. A. Kivelson Nature Physics **8**, 865-866 (2012)

[25] M. A. Metlitski, S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 075128 (2010).

[26] J. D. Sau, and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 075129 (2014); A. Allais J. Bauer, and S. Sachdev, arXiv:1402.4807.

[27] K. B. Efetov, H. Meier, C. Pépin, *Nat. Phys.* **9** 442, (2013); H. Meier, M. Einenkel, C. Pépin, and K.B. Efetov, *Phys. Rev. B* **88,** 020506(R) (2013).

[28] H. Meier, C. Pépin, M. Einenkel, K. B. Efetov, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 195115 (2014).

[29] D. Chowdhury and S. Sachdev, arXiv:1404.6532. Feedback of superconducting fluctuations on charge order in the underdoped cuprates

[30] R. Fernandes, A. Chubukov, and J. Schmalian, *Nat. Phys.* **10** 97, (2014).

[31] T. Wu, H. Mayaffre, S. Krämer, M. Horvatić, C.Berthier, W. N. Hardy, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn, and M.-H. Julien, arXiv:1404.1617.

[32] Y. Wang and A. Chubukov, unpublished.

[33] Such procedure was introduced in I. L. Aleiner, D. E. Kharzeev, A. M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. B**76**, 195415 (2007). It is useful when a field and its dual are present simultaneously.

[34] A. B. Kuklov and A. M. Tsvelik, New J. Phys. **14**, 115033 (2012).

[35] V. S. Dotsenko, V. S. Dotsenko, Adv. Phys. **32**, 129 (1983).

[36] B. J. Zuber and C. Itzykson, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2875 (1977); B. Schroer and T. T. Truong, Nucl. Phys. B**144**, 80 (1978).